## BZA Packet

March 18, 2024

## Hello All,

Enclosed please find your packet for the meeting of March 18, 2024.

## We have:

- 1 carryover
- 5 new cases

If you receive any citizen inquiries regarding these cases the proposed plans may be viewed by going to:
www.mtnbrook.org

- Calendar (upper right corner)
- $\quad$ Board of Zoning Adjustment (March 18, 2024)
- Meeting Information (for agenda) and Supporting Documents (to view proposed plans and/or survey select link associated with the case number)

If you have any questions about the cases please don't hesitate to give me a call at 802-3811 or send me an email at slatent@mtnbrook.org ...

Looking forward to seeing you on Monday!
Tyler

Meeting agenda<br>City Of Mountain Brook<br>Board Of Zoning Adjustment<br>March 18, 2024<br>PRE-MEETING: 4:40 P.M.<br>REGULAR MEETING: 5:00 P.M.

# Meeting to be held in person at city hall and virtually using zoom video CONFERENCING <br> (ACCESS INSTRUCTIONS ON MEETING WEBPAGE) 


#### Abstract

NOTICE

Any variance which is granted today expires and becomes null and void one year from today unless construction is begun in less than one year from today on the project for which the variance is granted. If construction will not be started within one year from today, the applicant may come back in 11 months and ask for a six-month extension, which the Board normally grants.

Any variance which is granted, regardless of the generality of the language of the motion granting the variance, must be construed in connection with, and limited by, the request of the applicant, including all diagrams, plats, pictures and surveys submitted to this Board before and during the public hearing on the variance application.


1. Approval of Minutes: February 20, 2024
2. Carried over from the February 20, 2024 meeting.

Case A-24-08: Mike and Penny Fuller, property owners, request variances from the terms of the Zoning Regulation to allow additions and alterations to the existing nonconforming dwelling to be 13 feet 6 inches from the left side property line (north) in lieu of the required 15 feet, and to allow the building area to be 26.1 percent in lieu of the maximum allowed of 25 percent. 76 Country Club Blvd
3. Case A-24-10: William and Catherine Moates, property owners, request a variance from the terms of the Zoning Regulations to allow a detached accessory structure to be 5.7 feet from the side property line (south) in lieu of the required 10 feet. - $\mathbf{3 8 3 2}$ Cromwell Drive
4. Case A-24-11: William and Langston Hereford, property owners, request a variance from the terms of the Zoning Regulations to allow a new deck, screened porch and fireplace to be as close as 12 feet 3 inches from the side property line (east) in lieu of the required 15 feet. $\mathbf{- 3 8 1 3}$ Glencoe Drive
5. Case A-24-12: SmartBank/MAM Investments, LLC, property owner, requests the approval of a shared parking agreement between two adjoining properties, in lieu of each property providing required parking independently. -101 Office Park Drive
6. Case A-24-13: Taylor and Elizabeth Powell, property owners, request variances from the terms of the Zoning Regulation to allow a detached accessory structure to be 5 feet from the rear property line (south), and 5 feet from the side property line (east), both in lieu of the required 10 feet. $\mathbf{- 3 8 3 2}$ Williamsburg Circle
7. Case A-24-14: Ebert Investments, LLC, property owner, requests a variance from the terms of the Zoning Regulation to allow an addition to the existing single family dwelling (attached carport) to be 7.9 feet from the side property line (north) in lieu of the required 15 feet. -4012 Little Branch Road
8.Next Meeting: Monday, April 15, 2024
9. Adjournment

# Variance Application - Part I 

## Project Data

Address of Subject Property 76 Country Club Blvd. Mountain Brook, 35213
Zoning Classification Residence A District
Name of Property Owner(s) Mike and Penny Fuller
Phone Number (205)837-1305 Email ptfuller@,hotmail.com
Name of Surveyor Weygand Surveyors
Phone Number (205)942-0086 Email info@weygandsurveyor.com
Name of Architect (if applicable) Jeffrey Dungan Architects - project lead Sarah Barr
Phone Number (205)329-7033 Email sarah@jeffreydungan.com
Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing

Please fill in only applicable project information (relating directly to the variance request(s):

|  | Zoning Code Requirement | Existing Development | Proposed Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area (sf) | 30,000 sf | $16,802.5 \mathrm{sf}$ | $16,802.5 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Lot Width (ft) |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) primary |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback | 15'-0" | approx. 13'-6" | same as existing |
| Right Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow lots in Res-B or Res-C: Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |
| Lot Coverage (\%) | 25\% | 21.3\% | 26.1\% |
| Building Height (ft) |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |

## A-24-08 Zoning



## A-24-08 Aerial



# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-08

## Petition Summary

Request to allow additions and alterations to the existing non-conforming dwelling to be 13 feet 6 inches from the left side property line (north) in lieu of the required 15 feet, and to allow the building area to be 26.1 percent in lieu of the maximum allowed of 25 percent.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work additions and alterations to the existing non-conforming dwelling which includes extending the existing left side of the structure that is 13 feet 6 inches from the property line. The proposal also includes the removal of an existing detached garage and the construction of a new detached garage.

## Variance Request for Setback

Nexus: The hardship related to the side setback request is the existing design constraint of the non-conforming home. The proposal would not increase the encroachment or move the side of the home closer to the property line, but would extend the side of the home from front to back.

## Variance Request for Building Area Coverage

Nexus: The applicant stated that the hardship is that lot is 16,802 square feet in total area which is less than the 30,000 square foot minimum in Res-A. The applicant also mentioned the front property line being nearly 22 feet back from the edge of the street. Neither of these stated hardships are peculiar or unusual to the surrounding area.

The average lot size for this block ( 13 lots between Country Club Boulevard, Matthews Road and Fairway Drive) is approximately 18,978 square feet. The median lot size is 16,593 . This puts the subject location very close to the average lot size and slightly above the median. The building area coverage maximum is 25 percent which applies evenly to all Residence-A lots regardless of size. A smaller lot is not a hardship as it relates to the ratio of building coverage allowed. Furthermore, the previous zoning regulations for minimum lot size in Residence-A was 15,000 square feet and it still had a maximum building coverage allowed of 25 percent. There are numerous Residence-A lots in this area and across the city that are well below the 30,000 square foot minimum because they were brought in or created under the 15,000 square foot requirement.

## Standard Hardships Required for the Side Setback

The subject request appears to meet "e." of the following hardship standards:
Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape
d. exceptional topographic conditions
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties (existing design constraint as current home is 13 feet 6 inches feet from the side setback)

Applicable findings for any motion to approve should be read into the record of minutes. The Board may determine that the following findings are applicable to this case:

1. That special circumstances or conditions apply to the building or land in question, and
2. That these circumstances are peculiar to such building or land, and
3. That these circumstances do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity;
4. The condition from which relief or a variance is sought did not result from action by the applicant;
5. That the granting of this variance:
a. will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property (will not encroach closer to the property line than the existing side of the home)

## Standard Hardships Required for the Building Area

Staff could not identify a hardship that relates to the proposed building area.
Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape
d. exceptional topographic conditions
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties

## Impervious Area

The proposal exceeds that maximum allowed impervious surface limit.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains a single-family dwelling, and is surrounded by same.

## Affected Regulation

Article III, Section 129-34 Residence A District

Appends<br>LOCATION: 76 Country Club Boulevard

ZONING DISTRICT: Residence A District

OWNERS: Mike and Penny Fuller










## Variance Application Part II

## Required Findings (Sec. 129-455 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To aid staff in determining that the required hardship findings can be made in this particular case, please answer the following questions with regard to your request. These findings must be made by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in order for a variance to be granted (please attach a separate sheet if necessary).

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?
Please see attached "Hardship and Statement of Scope"
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (i.e., selfimposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...")
No, the hardship arises from several factors mentioned in the attached "Hardship and
Statment of Scope" and was not created by the property owner.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

How would the granting of this variance be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations?
The suggested mitigation measures in the attached "Hardship and Statement of Scope" help keep the design of the property in line with the intent of the Zoning Regulations by limiting the stormwater impact of the slightly larger lot coverage.

This variance request is for a slight increase in lot coverage, and the extension of an existing wall that overhangs the northeastern setback. The hardship with this lot in terms of lot coverage is that the survey shows the property line is set nearly twenty-two feet back from the edge of the street, when typically property lines fall between $8-10$ feet from the street. That's an extra $\pm 1200$ square feet of land that is maintained by the property owner, not the city, but isn't applicable to lot coverage calculations. The inclusion of this land that visibly is part of the lot, even if not per the surveyed property lines, would put our proposed design at under the required $25 \%$ lot coverage. With the current property line location, our lot coverage is slightly over the $25 \%$ limit, at $26.1 \%$. In addition, this lot was labeled Residence A District, which has a minimum square footage of 30,000 sf. This lot has only $16,802.5 \mathrm{sf}$, creating a difficulty and disproportion in size of structure to the allowed lot coverage.

If we cannot factor in another 12-14 feet of property at the street - that is maintained by the lot owner but not unavailable for the lot coverage calculations- we propose installing mitigation measures to offset the potential impact of a slightly higher lot coverage:

- Installing a cistern to capture excess rain on site and reduce the city's stormwater load
- Working with the landscape architect to include rain gardens with native plants to help rain water soak into the ground instead of contributing to Mountain Brook's flooding issues.

The owner and design team want to update the property to modern standards while keeping the character of this century-old home. Instead of demolishing this historic house to give the owner exactly what she needs to accommodate her husband's disability - a degenerative brain disorder that will soon require the use of a wheelchair - we decided to redo the poorly done 1970s addition at the back of the property to keep more in line with the original character and provide the needed space for the master suite on the main level. The expansion at the front of the house is minimal and stays well back from the $40^{\prime}$ setback so as not to effect the experience along the street.

The old servants' quarters - a two-story structure located right up against the back property line will be torn down to allow for a smaller, single-story, single-car garage located closer to the house. Other improvements include a new roof (within allowed height limitations) and updates to the hardscaping - such as updating the driveway with permeable pavers.

Below are some photos of the existing house. Included in this application are plans and elevations


## Variance Application - Part I



## Variance Application - Part I

## Project Data

Address of Subject Property 3832 Cromwell Drive
Zoning Classification Residential
Name of Property Owner(s) William J and Catherine J Moates
Phone Number (205) 902-6034 Email kay@onslenderthreads.com
Name of Surveyor Weygand Surveyors
Phone Number (205) 942-0086 . Email info@weygand.com
Name of Architect (if applicable) $\qquad$
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$
Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing
Please project information (relating directly to the variance request(s):

|  | Zoning Code Requirement | Existing Development | Proposed Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area (sf) |  |  |  |
| Lot Width (ft) |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) primary |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback (ft): For non-conforming narrow lots in Res-B or Res-C: Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): For non-conforming narrow lots in Res-B or Res-C: Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |
| Lot Coverage (\%) |  |  |  |
| Bullaing felgnt (1) |  |  |  |
| Other SHED | $10^{3}$ | 5.7', 6.3' | 5.7', 6.3' |
| Othe |  |  |  |

A-24-10 Zoning



3/1/2024, 11:11:05 AM
Aerial 2021
Green: Band_2
Blue: Band_3
Red: Band_1
 HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA

# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-10

## Petition Summary

Request to allow a detached accessory structure to be 5.7 feet from the side property line (south) in lieu of the required 10 feet.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work includes the construction of a detached accessory structure. There was an existing shed in this location that was destroyed by a storm. This proposal would allow the applicants to rebuild the shed in the exact same footprint as the one that was destroyed.

## Variance Request for Setback

Nexus: The applicant stated that the existing design constraint of the garden in the backyard and location of the previous shed are hardships.

## Standard Hardships Required

The subject request appears to possibly meet "e." of the following hardship standards:
Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape
d. exceptional topographic conditions
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties (existing design constraint of garden and previous shed location)

Applicable findings for any motion to approve should be read into the record of minutes. The Board may determine that the following findings are applicable to this case:

1. That special circumstances or conditions apply to the building or land in question, and
2. That these circumstances are peculiar to such building or land, and
3. That these circumstances do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity;
4. The condition from which relief or a variance is sought did not result from action by the applicant;
5. That the granting of this variance:
a. will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property (as the proposed shed is somewhat minor in nature, and has existed in the same location previously so there would be little to no change in the flow of light and air to what was there prior to the storm).

## Impervious Area

The proposal is in compliance with the maximum impervious surface limit.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains a single-family dwelling, and is surrounded by same.

## Affected Regulation

Article XIX, Section 129-314 Accessory structures and accessory buildings on residential lots

## Appends

LOCATION: 3832 Cromwell Drive

ZONING DISTRICT: Residence A District
OWNERS: William and Catherine Moates

## Survey



STATE OF ALABAMA)
"PROPERTY BOUNDARY SURVEY"
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)
I, Thomas Scott Dreher, a Registered Land Surveyor, hereby certify to the purchaser of this property at this time, that I have surveyed Lot 2 , of BLOCK 2 MOUNTAIN BROOK GARDENS ESTATES, as recorded in Map Volume 56, Page 73, in the Office of the Judge of Probate, Jefferson County, Alabama. I hereby state that all parts of this survey and drawing have been completed in accordance with the current requirements of the Standards of Practice for Surveying in the State of Alabama to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; according to my survey of FEBRUARY 20, 2024 . Survey invalid if not signed and sealed.

Order No.: 20240196
Purchaser:
Address: 3832 CROMWELL DR

BY:


Ccatt Leher Date: FEBRUARY 22,2024 Thomas Scott Dreher, PLS AL 50407
173 Oxmoor Road
Homewood, AL 35209
(205) 942-0086


Notes: (a) No title search of the public records has been performed by this firm, and land shown hereon was not abstracted for easements and/or rights-of-way, recorded or unrecorded. The parcel shown hereon is subject to setbacks, easements, zoning, and restrictions that may be found in the public records of said county and/or city. (b) All bearings and/or angles are deed/record map unless otherwise noted in such form as Measured (Deed). (c) Underground portions of foundations, footings, and/or other underground structures, utilities, cemeteries or burial sites were not located unless otherwise noted. (d) The shown north arrow is based on deed/record map. (e) This survey is not transferable. (f) Easements not shown on recorded map are not shown above. (g) All iron pins set (IPS) by this firm are $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar with a orange cap inscribed CA50309 and shall not be removed. (h) All corners set MAG. nails and washer will be silver and inscribed WEYGAND CA50309

|  |  | -MEAMD | O' | $30^{\prime}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCALE: $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\circ}$ | APPROVED BY Thomas Scott Dreher PLS AL REG. NO. 50407 | DATE OF SURVEY:02/20/2024 | Job \#: 20240196 | GRAPHIC SCALE: $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ |  | Copyright © |
| DATE: 02/22/2024 |  | SURVEYED BY: JW / DRAWN BY: BAB |  |  |  |  |

## Survey (detail)




## Variance Application - Garden Shed

William J and Catherine J Moates 3832 Cromwell Dr.<br>Mountain Brook, AL 35243

February 22, 2024

## Table of Contents

Variance Application - Part IPage 03
Part II (written statement)
Pages 04-05
Scope of Project
Page 06
Certified Adjacent Parcel Information
Survey

## Variance Application - Part II

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?

Our beloved garden has been a labor of love spanning nearly five decades. When my husband and I first purchased 3832 Cromwell Dr. in 1976, we saw potential in the bare grounds that surrounded our new home. We dreamed of one day transforming it into a relaxing personal sanctuary.


Our shed is central to our garden refuge. It stores our tools, equipment, and supplies. It also provides a surface for plants to grow, and creates shade to sit, relax and better appreciate the space.

Stepping into our garden now, it's easy to get lost in the environment we created. The gentle bubble of fountains, the wind dancing through chimes, and songs from birds nestled among the trees envelop you. Dappled sunlight filters down through the canopy we've grown, making you feel as if you're in a forest rather than our backyard.

To us, this garden is so much more than just the physical space. It's our history of the family we raised and life we built in Mountain Brook.


Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (i.e., self-imposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...")

Absolutely not. On December 9th, 2023, my husband and I looked on in disbelief as a tornado whipped through our neighbor's property. The tornado ripped one of their enormous 36 -inch diameter trees from the ground and sent it crashing into the back corner of our yard - scarring our garden and destroying our garden shed, along with everything in it.
(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

## Variance Application - Part II (continued)

How would the granting of this variance be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations?

Our garden shed has stood in place for over four decades.

When we first designed the layout of our garden, we intentionally situated the modest structure along the back fence line, so as not to obstruct the beauty intended for our space, or impede the view from our neighbor's yards.

Our shed is a structural part of our garden design. Granting the variance will result in no changes to the neighborhood in any way.

The project's output will remain in harmony with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations.




## Scope of Project

The finished product will appear identical to the garden shed that previously occupied the space prior to sustaining storm damage.

A complete rebuild of the garden shed will be performed to replicate the size, building materials, dimensions, and overall structure of the original shed that was damaged in the recent storm. The reconstructed shed will match the original footprint and interior cubic footage, allowing it to fit back into the same physical space in the garden. It will be built using the same types of materials used on the original so that it blends seamlessly into the surrounding landscape.


2/22/2024


Catherine J Montes
2/22/2024

## Contractor Information

## Gustavo Acevedo

 OWNERUnited Contractors Services, LLC
(205) 747-7016 office
(205) 470-4416 cell
www.contractorservices.us


# Variance Application - Part I 

## Project Data

## Address of Subject Property 3813 Glencoe Drive

Zoning Classification Residence A
Name of Property Owner(s) William J \& Langston S Hereford
Phone Number 205-445-3541 Email willhereford@gmail.com

Name of Surveyor $\qquad$
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$
Name of Architect (if applicable) N/A
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$
Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing

Please fill in only applicable project information (relating directly to the variance request(s):

|  | Zoning Code <br> Requirement | Existing <br> Development | Proposed <br> Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area (sf) | 30,000 | 17,301 | 17,301 |
| Lot Width (ft) | $100^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ front - 55' rear | $90^{\prime}$ front - 55' rear |
| Front Setback (ft) primary | $40^{\prime}$ | $40.9^{\prime}$ | $40.9^{\prime}$ |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ |
| Left Side Setback | $15^{\prime}$ | $14.3^{\prime}$ | 14.3 |
| Right Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: |  |  |  |
| Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |
| Lot Coverage (\%) |  |  |  |
| Building Height $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |
| Other Chimney | $13^{\prime}$ |  | $12.3^{\prime}$ |
| Other |  |  |  |

## A-24-11 Zoning



## A-24-11 Aerial



3/1/2024, 12:26:47 PM
Aerial 2021

Red: Band_1

| 1:2,257 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 mi |
|  |  | 05 |  |
| 0 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.1 km |

Jefferson County Department of Information Technology, JeffCoAL, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA

# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-11

## Petition Summary

Request to allow a new deck, screened porch and fireplace to be as close as 12 feet 3 inches from the side property line (east) in lieu of the required 15 feet.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work includes enlarging a wooden deck and constructing a screened porch with a fireplace beneath the deck.

## Variance Request for Setback

Nexus: The hardships in the case are the existing design constraints and slightly unusual lot shape and width. The existing home is non-conforming as it relates to the side setback as it is 14.3 feet from the side property line. The scope of work involving the deck and screened porch would maintain the same setback at 14.3 feet from the side. The proposed fireplace would extend 2 feet farther into the setback to a proposed 12.3 feet. Fireplaces are allowed project into a side setback up to 2 feet. The required side setback for this ResA lot is 15 feet, so the fireplace could be as close as 13 feet without the need for a variance.

## Standard Hardships Required

The subject request appears to possibly meet "c." and "e." of the following hardship standards:

Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape (lot shape that tapers towards the rear creating a narrow lot at the back)
d. exceptional topographic conditions
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties (existing design constraint of home that is built 14.3 feet from the side property line)

Applicable findings for any motion to approve should be read into the record of minutes. The Board may determine that the following findings are applicable to this case:

1. That special circumstances or conditions apply to the building or land in question, and
2. That these circumstances are peculiar to such building or land, and
3. That these circumstances do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity;
4. The condition from which relief or a variance is sought did not result from action by the applicant;
5. That the granting of this variance:
a. will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property (as the proposed porch will maintain the same setback as the existing home).

## Impervious Area

The proposal is in compliance with the maximum impervious surface limit.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains a single-family dwelling, and is surrounded by same.

## Affected Regulation

Article III, Section 129-34 Residence A District

## Appends

LOCATION: 3813 Glencoe Drive
ZONING DISTRICT: Residence A District
OWNERS: William and Langston Hereford




## Variance Application Part II

## Required Findings (Sec. 129-455 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To aid staff in determining that the required hardship findings can be made in this particular case, please answer the following questions with regard to your request. These findings must be made by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in order for a variance to be granted (please attach a separate sheet if necessary).

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?
There are three hardships that need to be considered for this variance. The siting of the house on the subject property, the size of the lot and the narrowness of the lot. The existing structure was built within 14.3' of the left side property line, so it is existing non-conforming for Residence A. At 17,301 square feet the lot is undersized for Residence A. The lot is $90^{\prime}$ wide at the street and tapers to 55 ' at the rear of the property, both of which are less than the 100' width requirement for Residence A.

Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (i.e., selfimposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...") No. We are attempting to replace an aging deck and small concrete patio with a larger deck and screen porch on an existing non-conforming structure.

How would the granting of this variance be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations?
Due to the hardships mentioned above, we feel that granting this variance would be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations.

Mr. Tyler Slate
Senior Planner
City of Mt. Brook
56 Church Street
Mt. Brook, AL 35213

RE: Variance Request for 3813 Glencoe Drive
Dear Mr. Slaten,

My wife and I are the property owners at 3813 Glencoe Drive. We are replacing an existing wood framed deck and small concrete patio on the rear of the subject property. Our plan is to enlarge the deck and construct a screen porch with a fireplace beneath deck.

We are seeking a variance to add this structure to rear of the house, since the house is existing non-conforming on the left side at 14.3 feet from the property line. This is 0.9 feet less than the required 15.0 feet for Residence $A$. We are also seeking a variance to have the chimney closer than 13.0 feet to the property line. We are basing our variance requests on the following three hardships:

1. The siting of the house on the subject property. The house was originally constructed 14.3 feet from the side property line and the setback requirement for Residence A District is 15 feet.
2. The size of the lot. The subject property is 17,301 square feet which is much less than the 30,000 square feet requirement for Residence A District.
3. The narrowness of the lot. The lot is 90 feet wide at the street and tapers to 55 feet in the rear of the lot. Both of these dimensions are less than the 100 foot width requirement for Residence A District.

I have attached the information requested on the application checklist. Please contact me if you should need additional information.

Sincerely,


William J. Hereford

# Variance Application - Part I 

## Project Data

Address of Subject Property
101 Office Park Drive, Mountain Brook, AL 35223
Zoning Classification
Office Park District
Name of Property Owner(s)
SmartBank (Current Owner) MAM Investments, LLC (Proposed Owner)
Phone Number
205-215-7375
jgardner@creimail.com
Name of Surveyor $\qquad$
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$
Name of Architect (if applicable) Erik Hendon
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$ ehendon@hplusha.com

Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing

Please fill in only applicable project information (relating directly to the variance request(s):

|  | Zoning Code <br> Requirement | Existing <br> Development | Proposed <br> Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area (sf) |  |  |  |
| Lot Width (ft) |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) primary |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |
| Lot Coverage (\%) |  |  |  |
| Building Height $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  | Variance for Shared | Parking Agreement |

## A-24-12 Zoning



3/12/2024, 2:12:55 PM

| $\square$ Pavement | ------ Lot Lines |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Building Footprints 2020vl | Tax_Parcels 2021 |


| 1:1,128 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 mi |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 km |



3/12/2024, 2:27:32 PM


|  | 1:1,128 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 mi |
| - |  | 1 | $\square$ |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 km |

Jefferson County Department of Information Technology, JeffCoAL, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA

# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-12

## Petition Summary

A request for an appeal to the Board of Zoning Adjustment, as applied to the property located at 101 Office Park Drive, has been filed by SmartBank/MAM Investments, LLC. The requested appeal would allow a shared parking agreement between two adjoining properties located in the Office Park District.

## Scope of Work

The subject property contains Lot 1 (at the intersection of Office Park Drive and Hwy 280) and Lot 2 (to the immediate north of Lot 1, fronting on Hwy 280 but taking access from Office Park Drive, across Lot 1). Lot 2 is proposed to be developed as a freestanding use (one that is permitted in the Office Park District). The scope of work includes the construction of additional square footage on Lot 2, as well as the recordation of a shared parking and access agreement.

## Shared Parking

Lot 1 contains the existing SmartBank location. Lot 2 has approximately 4,000 square feet of existing building space and the applicant intends to construct approximately 3,000 additional square feet. This lot can only be accessed through Lot 1 and will not contain adequate space to provide the required parking once the additional square footage is constructed.

The total building square footage across both lots is approximately 14,580 square feet. The Office Park District requires a minimum parking requirement of 4 spaces per every 1,000 square feet of space or 1 per every 250 square feet. The total required parking for both lots is 59 spaces. The applicants are proposing to provide the full complement of 59 required spaces to be shared across both lots.

## Why BZA?

Sec. 129-355 of the Zoning Code grants the Board of Zoning Adjustment the right to approve an appeal to allow a shared parking agreement (a portion or all required parking on one site to be provided on an adjoining site) provided that all such additional required parking spaces must be within 500 feet of the main entrance of the building containing such principal use, and such other property shall be specifically designated for parking for such principal use. See attached shared parking agreement, wherein both Lots 1 and 2 would share all required parking for the buildings on both lots.
**Note: this is not a request for a variance, so no hardship must be shown for a favorable vote from BZA.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains office and professional uses and surrounded by the same.

## Affected Regulation

Article XXI, Section 129-355 Exceptions to minimum parking requirements

## Appends

LOCATION: 101 Office Park Drive

ZONING DISTRICT: Office Park District
OWNERS: SmartBank

## Variance Application Part II

## Required Findings (Sec. 129-455 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To aid staff in determining that the required hardship findings can be made in this particular case, please answer the following questions with regard to your request. These findings must be made by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in order for a variance to be granted (please attach a separate sheet if necessary).

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (i.e., selfimposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...")
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

How would the granting of this variance be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Exhibit A to the BZA Variance Application

The proposed owner currently has Lot 2 under contract to purchase. We are proposing to make an addition of roughly $4,000+/-$ SF to the existing structure which contains $3,000+/-$ SF for a total building area of $7,000+/-\mathrm{SF}$. In doing so there will not be adequate parking on Lot 2 alone to meet code while Lot 1, SmartBank, has more than adequate parking to meet both code and bank needs. That said, the attached proposed site plan shows the parking layout on both Lot 1 and Lot 2 to be used by both parties under the attached Shared Parking Agreement.

The attached proposed site plan shows a total of 14,580 SF of building area between the two buildings with a total of 59 parking spaces which meets the minimum parking ratio of 4/1,000. By approving the Shared Parking Agreement, this will facilitate the renovation, expansion and beautification of the existing structure on Lot 2.




## STATE OF ALABAMA )

## DECLARATION AND

RECIPROCAL EASEMENT AGREEMENT (Parking)

This Declaration and Reciprocal Easement Agreement (the "Agreement") is made and entered into as of this J day of FPbivary , 2024 (the "Effective Date") by and between MAM Investments, LLC, an Alabama limited liability company (hereinafter "MAM") and SmartBank, a Tennessee banking corporation (hereinafter, "SmartBank") (collectively MAM and SmartBank shall be referred to herein as the "Parties").

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, SmartBank is the owner of that certain real property located in Jefferson County, Alabama, legally described as Lots 1 and 2 according to the Survey of Amsouth Office Park Survey, as recorded in Map Book 187, page 58, in the Probate Office of Jefferson County, Alabama in Exhibit A attached hereto (the "Entire Property"); and

WHEREAS, for purposes herein, Lot 1 of the Entire Property shall be referred to herein as the "SmartBank Parcel" and Lot 2 of the Entire Property shall be referred to herein as the "MAM Parcel," (or collectively as "Parcel(s)") each as designed and shown in the attached Exhibit "A" incorporated herein; and

WHEREAS, Simultaneously herewith, SmartBank shall convey the MAM Parcel to MAM, and in conjunction therewith, the Parties desire that certain parking easements be established for the benefit of the Entire Property which shall run with the land and bind and benefit any owner (hereinafter "Owner") thereof for and during such time of ownership of any Parcel;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and other good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Grant of Easement. SmartBank, as the Owner of the Entire Property, hereby establishes, grants, bargains, conveys and reserves a perpetual, non exclusive reciprocal easement for the parking of passenger vehicles ("Parking Easement") along with access thereto, on, over and across those certain parking spaces as presently planned and shown on Exhibit "B" attached hereto (the "Site Plan") for the benefit of each of the SmartBank Parcel and MAM Parcel subject to those terms and conditions of usage as specifically set forth herein (the "Parking Spaces") as the same may be modified, reconfigured, maintained and adjusted from time to time by each owner on its respective Parcel (referred to herein as each "Owner"). The Parking Easement granted herein shall be utilized solely by each Owner and the lessees, guests, customers, invitees of each Parcel (hereinafter, collectively the "Permittees"). The use of the Parking Spaces shall be restricted by the following covenants, rules and regulations:
i. The Parking Easement burdening the SmartBank Parcel and the Parking Spaces located thereon shall not be accessible or utilized by the Permittees of the MAM Parcel until the construction of the improvements on the SmartBank Parcel are completed in accordance with the Site Plan, and the same is open for business to the public ("SmartBank Completion Date").
ii. Each Owner of the SmartBank Parcel and MAM Parcel shall have the right to adopt reasonable nondiscriminatory rules and regulations related to the usage of the Parking Spaces on their own Parcels to ensure the safety, traffic flow and efficiency of operations of its own Parcel, and may enforce the usage thereof, including towing or booting vehicles and placing directional and warning signage related to such rules and regulations.
iii. Nothing construed herein shall permit any Owner or its Permittee to charge a fee or offer public parking for use of the Parking Spaces located on the other respective Parcel or for the use of the Parking Spaces on one Parcel by those contractors, subcontractors, or workers performing construction activities on the other respective Parcel without prior permission of the applicable Owner thereof.
iv. The use of the Parking Spaces shall be at the sole risk of those utilizing the same, and neither Owner shall be required to provide additional security, monitoring nor shall any Owner be responsible for any vandalism or theft causing damage to person or property.
v. The use of the Parking Spaces shall be exercised in such a manner so as not to unreasonably interfere with, obstruct or delay the conduct or operations on either Parcel or access thereto.
2. Construction and Maintenance. SmartBank shall be responsible to construct the Parking Spaces located on their own Parcel and that of the MAM Parcel, as shown on Exhibit "B", at their sole cost and expense, and shall thereafter maintain such Parking Spaces subject only to the rights of indemnification as set forth hereunder. The Parking Easement granted herein shall not be construed to prohibit the Owner of any Parcel from the future development, construction or modification of the improvements on its Parcel.
3. Insurance and Indemnification. A. Insurance. The Owner of the Terrace Parcel with respect to the Terrace Parcel, and the Owner of the Southpoint Parcel with respect to the Southpoint Parcel each shall obtain and maintain comprehensive general public liability insurance with "broad form liability endorsement" insuring itself and its Parcel against all claims for personal injury, death or property damage occurring in, upon or about its Parcel. Such insurance shall be written with an insurer licensed to do business in the State of Alabama. The limit of liability of all such insurance shall be at least $\$ 2,000,000.00$ combined single limit. Each insurance policy shall name the other owner as an additional insured with respect to the easements for the benefit of such other owner.
B. Indemnification. Each Owner hereto shall indemnify, defend and hold the
other Owner, its employees, owners, members, officers, successors and/or assigns harmless from and against any and all loss, cost, damage, suit, action, expense or claim related to the indemnifying Owner's use of the easements described herein or a breach of any provision of this Agreement, including, without limitation, indemnification for any and all actual and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs through all trial, appellate and post judgment proceedings.
4. Notices. All notices, requests, demands, and other communications required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing and sent to the address(es) set forth below. Each notice shall be deemed duly given and received: (i) as of the date and time the same is personally delivered with a receipted copy; (ii) if delivered by U.S. Mail, five (5) business days after depositing with the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid by certified mail, return receipt requested, (iii) if given by nationally recognized or reputable overnight delivery service on the next business day after receipted deposit with same or (iv) if my electronic mail, then when the receiving party acknowledges receipt thereof:


Upon the sale or conveyance of either Parcel, such new Owner shall provide written notice to the other Party of any names and notice addresses. If such notice is not given, then any notifying party may provide notice at the address as listed and shown on the tax notice for such Parcel.

## 5. Miscellaneous.

a. Recording Fees. The parties shall equally share for all filing fees, recording tax or document stamp costs, if any, in connection with the recording of this Agreement.
b. Estoppel Certificates. Each Party shall upon not less than twenty (20) days from receipt of written notice from any other Party execute and deliver to such other Party a certificate reasonably approved by such Party, stating that (i) either this Agreement is unmodified and in full force and effect or is modified (and stating the modification); and (ii) whether or not to the best of its knowledge the other Party is in default in any respect under this Agreement and if in default, specifying such default.
c. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.
d. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by, construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Alabama.

## e. Intentionally deleted.

f. Severability. In the event that any condition or covenant herein contained is held to be invalid or void by any court of competent jurisdiction, the same shall be deemed severable from the remainder of this Agreement and shall in no way affect any other covenant or conditions herein contained. If such condition, covenant or other provision shall be deemed invalid due to its scope or breadth, such provision shall be deemed valid to the extent of the scope or breadth permitted by law.
g. Waiver and Amendment. No breach of any provision hereof can be waived unless in writing. Waiver of any one breach shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other breach of the same or any other provision hereof. This Agreement may be amended or terminated only by a written agreement executed by all of the then-applicable owners of record of either Parcel.
h. Captions and Interpretations. Paragraph titles or captions contained herein are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend or describe the scope of this Agreement or any provision hereof. No provision in this Agreement is to be interpreted for or against either party because that party or its legal representative drafted such provision.
i. Binding Effect: Covenants Run With the Land. The parties covenant and agree that the servitudes, agreements, covenants and restrictions and all other terms, conditions and provisions hereof shall be binding upon them and their respective successors and assigns and all other persons or entities having or hereafter acquiring any right, title or interest in the respective property, and all other persons and entities claiming by, through or under the Owner and its respective successors and assigns. The rights, obligations and benefits established pursuant to this Agreement shall run with the land, and shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon, the Owner of the Terrace Parcel and the Southpoint Parcel for and during such times as the such owner is the owner of such Parcel, and their respective successors and assigns and all subsequent Owners of any portion of each Property. Nothing contained herein is intended nor shall it be construed as creating any rights in or for the benefit of any third party or the general public.
j. Attorneys' Fees. In the event of litigation arising out of the interpretation or enforcement of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees from the non-prevailing party, together with all costs and out-of-pocket expenses. Attorneys' fees shall include those incurred at trial or in appellate proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF，SmartBank Bank and MAM Investments，LLC have caused this Agreement to be executed by and through their respective duly authorized representatives on the date set forth herein．

SmartBank，
A Tennessee banking corporation


Its：Vice President／Drecter of Facilities

## STATE OF ALABAMA ）

 COUNTY OF JEFFERSON ）I，the undersigned，a Notary Public in and for said County，in said State，hereby certify that J．Stephen Smith，whose name as the President \＆CEO of Southpoint Bank，an Alabama banking corporation，is signed to the foregoing instrument，and who is known to me，acknowledged before me on this day that，being informed of the contents of the instrument，he，as such Officer and with full authority，executed the same voluntarily for and as the act of said Southpoint Bank．

Given under my hand this lat． day of February， 2024.


Notary Public
My Commission Expires：フーフー2025

MAM Investments, LLC
An Alabama limited liability company


Its: Managing Member

## STATE OF ALABAMA ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

I, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County, in said State, hereby certify that Michael A. Mourn, whose name as the managing member of MAM Investments, LLC, an Alabama limited liability company, is signed to the foregoing instrument, and who is known to me, acknowledged before me on this day that, being informed of the contents of the instrument, he, as such sole member and with full authority, executed the same voluntarily for and as the act of said limited liability company.

Given under my hand this $\qquad$ day of February, 2024. Odwar $\angle$ Willis Notary Public My Commission Expires: Feb 9, 2025


## EXHIBIT A



## EXHIBIT B



## EXHIBIT A



## EXHIBIT B



## Variance Application - Part I

## Project Data

Address of Subject Property 3832 Williamsburg Circle 35243 Zoning Classification residential
Name of Property Owners) Taylor \& Elizabeth Po well
Phone Number 205.215-0988 Email elizabethspowell@gmail.com
Name of Surveyor $\qquad$
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$
Name of Architect (if applicable) $\qquad$
Phone Number Email

Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing
Please fill in only applicable project information (relating directly to the variance requests):

|  | Zoning Code <br> Requirement | Existing <br> Development | Proposed <br> Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area (sf) |  |  |  |
| Lot Width (ft) |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) primary |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |$\quad$| Lot Coverage (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Building Height (ft) |  |  |
| Other |  |  |
| Other |  |  |

A-24-13 Zoning


## A-24-13 Aerial



3/1/2024, 12:07:46 PM
Aerial 2021
Red: Band_1
Green: Band_2 Blue: Band_3

|  | 1:2,257 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 mi |
|  |  | + |  |
| 0 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.1 km |

Jefferson County Department of Information Technology, JeffCoAL, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA

# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-13

## Petition Summary

Request to allow a detached accessory structure to be 5 feet from the rear property line (south), and 5 feet from the side property line (east), both in lieu of the required 10 feet.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work includes the placement of a detached accessory structure (shed) 5 feet from the side property line. The proposed shed will be 10x12 in size.

## Variance Request for Setback

Nexus: The applicant stated that the septic tank and field lines prevent them from moving the shed farther away from the property line.

## Standard Hardships Required

The subject request appears to possibly meet "e." of the following hardship standards:
Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape
d. exceptional topographic conditions
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties (existing septic tank and field lines)

Applicable findings for any motion to approve should be read into the record of minutes. The Board may determine that the following findings are applicable to this case:

1. That special circumstances or conditions apply to the building or land in question, and
2. That these circumstances are peculiar to such building or land, and
3. That these circumstances do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity;
4. The condition from which relief or a variance is sought did not result from action by the applicant;
5. That the granting of this variance:
a. will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property (as the proposed shed is somewhat minor in nature).

## Impervious Area

The proposal exceeds the impervious surface limit.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains a single-family dwelling, and is surrounded by same.

## Affected Regulation

Article XIX, Section 129-314 Accessory structures and accessory buildings on residential lots

## Appends

LOCATION: 3832 Williamsburg Circle
ZONING DISTRICT: Residence A District

OWNERS: Taylor and Elizabeth Powell


## Variance Application Part II

## Required Findings (Sec. 129-455 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To aid staff in determining that the required hardship findings can be made in this particular case, please answer the following questions with regard to your request. These findings must be made by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in order for a variance to be granted (please attach a separate sheet if necessary).

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?
Our septic tank field lines are in the middle of the back yard. If we build a storage shed 10 feet from the property line, it puts the buiding over the field lines. We are asking to build 5 feet from the property lines instead of 10 feet.

Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (i.e., selfimposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...")
No
$\qquad$ Regulations?

We are asking that we are able to build a Storage shad 5 feet from our property line instead of 10 feetin order to avoid properticy fine instead
$\qquad$

## Variance Application - Part I

## Project Data

Address of Subject Property $\qquad$ Hold Little Brach oJ
Zoning Classification $\qquad$
Name of Property Owners) Ebert $\lambda_{\text {nag }} 9$, hl
Phone Number 205-283-3204 Email hebetate ebestinvicom $\qquad$ Name of Surveyor $\operatorname{Dan}$ Ale)
Phone Number 205-837-9171 $\qquad$ Email bbsuove bellooth, net
Name of Architect (if applicable) $\qquad$
Phone Number $\qquad$ Email

Property owner or representative agent must be present at hearing
Please fill in only applicable project information (relating directly to the variance requests):

|  | Zoning Code <br> Requirement | Existing <br> Development | Proposed <br> Development |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area (sf) |  |  |  |
| Lot Width (ft) |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) primary |  |  |  |
| Front Setback (ft) secondary |  |  |  |
| Right Side Setback |  |  |  |
| Left Side Setback |  | 7.9 |  |
| Right Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ | 95' |  |  |
| Left Side Setback (ft): <br> For non-conforming narrow <br> lots in Res-B or Res-C: <br> Less than 22' high $\rightarrow$ <br> 22' high or greater $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Rear Setback (ft) |  |  |  |
| Lot Coverage (\%) |  |  |  |
| Building Height $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |

A-24-14 Zoning


## A-24-14 Aerial



3/1/2024, 12:36:22 PM
Aerial 2021
Red: Band_1
 HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA

# Report to the Board of Zoning Adjustment 

## A-24-14

## Petition Summary

Request to allow an addition to the existing single family dwelling (attached carport) to be 7.9 feet from the side property line (north) in lieu of the required 15 feet.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work includes the construction of an attached carport.

## Variance Request for Setback

Nexus: The applicant stated that the lot is an irregular shape as it is narrow in the front and widens toward the rear. The applicant also stated that there are topographic challenges as the lot slopes downward in the back rear of the property.

## Standard Hardships Required

The subject request appears to possibly meet "c." and "d." of the following hardship standards:

Section 129-455 of the municipal code outlines the hardships that the board may consider as justification for the granting of a variance:
a. exceptional narrowness
b. exceptional shallowness
c. irregular shape (lot shape that is narrow in the front and widen towards the rear)
d. exceptional topographic conditions (lot grade falls downward in the rear yard on the right side)
e. other extraordinary and exceptional situations or conditions of such parcel which would result in peculiar, extraordinary and practical difficulties

Applicable findings for any motion to approve should be read into the record of minutes. The Board may determine that the following findings are applicable to this case:

1. That special circumstances or conditions apply to the building or land in question, and
2. That these circumstances are peculiar to such building or land, and
3. That these circumstances do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity;
4. The condition from which relief or a variance is sought did not result from action by the applicant;
5. That the granting of this variance:
a. might not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property (in that the carport is proposed to be open on the sides)

## Impervious Area

The proposal is in compliance with the maximum impervious surface limit.

## Subject Property and Surrounding Land Uses

The property contains a single-family dwelling, and is surrounded by same.

## Affected Regulation

Article III, Section 129-34 Residence A District

## Appends

LOCATION: 4012 Little Branch Road

ZONING DISTRICT: Residence A District

OWNERS: Ebert Investments LLC


Notes:

1. Revised Carport Size on $2 / 7 / 2024$;

STATE OF ALABAMA
JEFFERSON COUNTY
I, Rowland Jackins, a Registered Land Surveyor in the State of Alabama, hereby certify that all parts of this survey and drawing have been completed in accordance with the current requirements of the Standards of Practice for Land Surveying in the State of Alabama to the best of my knowledge, information and belief for the following described property:
Lot 4-A, according to the plat of Resurvey Lots $3 \& 4$, Hermitage Forest Third Sector, as recorded in Map Book 83, Page 51, in the Probate Office of Jefferson County, Alabama;

I further state that there are no rights of ways, easements or joint driveways over or across said land visible on the surface except as shown, that there are no electric or telephone wires (excluding those which serve the premises only) or structures or supports thereof, including poles, anchor or guy wires on or over said property except as shown, that there are no encroachments except as shown; that the improvements are located as shown above. No underground utilities were located.
According to my field survey of November 9, 2023;


Rowland Jackins, P孔S, Alabama Reg.No. 18399 Jackins, Butler \& Adams, Inc. 3430 Independence Drive, Suite 30 Birmingham, Alabama 35209
(205) 870-3390
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## Variance Application Part II

## Required Findings (Sec. 129-455 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To aid staff in determining that the required hardship findings can be made in this particular case, please answer the following questions with regard to your request. These findings must be made by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in order for a variance to be granted (please attach a separate sheet if necessary).

What special circumstances or conditions, applying to the building or land in question, are peculiar to such building or land, and do not apply generally to other buildings or land in the vicinity (including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings)?

their is an exceptional topographian condition.

Was the condition from which relief is sought a result of action by the applicant? (ie., selfimposed hardship such as: "...converted existing garage to living space and am now seeking a variance to construct a new garage in a required setback...")

## No

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

How would the granting of this variance be consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

